

Please send feedback to:
Maureen Meehan, Pierce County maureen.meehan@piercecountywa.gov
Or
Angela Gallardo, City of Tacoma agallardo@cityoftacoma.org

Concerns/Questions:

- Currently tracking size and material, would that be required going forward? (2017 document says these attributes are optional)
- Timeline: As you observe or will special inspections be required to populate material/size for all outfalls by a given date?
- Outfalls digitized from as-builts might not be as precise and field conditions affect precision in field
- possibly different language for outfall in phase 1 permit/WSDOT permit
- Why does material matter?
- Sometimes difficult to find outfalls based on lat/long

Solutions/Proposed Permit Language:

- Continue requirement for all known and accessible outfalls vs timeline to have dataset complete with size/material
- If material/size is required, phase in 2 years after new permit effective date
- Use AGO (ArcGIS Online) to share data instead of annual download
- Precision based on source of data (digitized vs field collection) and conditions at time of collection or digitizing
- Ecology auto-populate location data (simple Python script)
- Potentially add elevation as a recommended (not required field)
- Outfall should be defined consistently in all permits.